

On Penta Topological Separation Axioms

Muhammad Shahkar Khan^{*}, Zakir Husain[†], Ibrar Hussain[‡]

Abstract

In the past few decades separation axioms in single topological spaces have been extended to bi, tri and quad topological spaces by many authors. In this work we examine separation axioms in penta topological spaces and also investigate some of their properties.

Keywords: penta topological separation axioms, $\wp T_0$, $\wp T_1$, $\wp T_2$, $\wp T_3$, \wp regular.

Introduction

In general topology separation axioms are topological spaces telling us how distinct points, points and sets and sets are wrapped by open sets (or neighbourhoods). Among these the most common separation axioms are T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3 and regular axioms. Khan & Khan (2018) introduced the concept of penta topological space as a non-void set equipped with five topologies. To be more specific, if X is a non-void set and $\tau_p = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5)$ is a 5-tuple of topologies, then (X, τ_p) is a penta topological space ($\wp TS$). The 5-tuple of topologies $\tau_p = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5)$ is called penta topology ($\wp T$) on X .

If $\tau_p = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4)$, then (X, τ_p) is called quad topological space (Mukundan, 2013). Tapi et al (2014) studied separation axioms in quad topological spaces.

If $\tau_p = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$, then (X, τ_p) is called tri topological space. Kovar (2000) introduced the notion of tri topological space. Hameed & Abid (2011) discussed separation axioms in tri-topological spaces.

If $\tau_p = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$, then (X, τ_p) is termed as bi topological space (Kelly, 1963). Kelly was the first who initiated the concept of bi topological space. His work gave birth to a new area of research *viz.* a set with many topologies within the domain of general topology. In bi topological spaces separation axioms in different contexts have been studied by interested authors like (Reilly 1972, Selvanayaki & Rajesh, 2011).

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, shahkar@uop.edu.pk

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, zakir1995@uop.edu.pk

[‡] Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, ibrar786@uop.edu.pk

If $\tau_p = \tau$, where τ is any topology on X , then (X, τ_p) is the classical topological space. For discussion pertaining to separation axioms in classical topological spaces we, however, refer to some standard books available on the topic (Munkres 2000, Simmons 1963 & Willard 1970).

In this paper, we aim at to carry over the idea of separation axioms to pTS via penta open sets and penta closed sets (Khan & Khan, 2018). More recently, some new topological concepts in pTS have also been discussed by some authors (Anjaline & Pricilla, 2020, Pacifica & Fatima 2019).

Preliminaries

Here we mention some facts about penta topological spaces (pTS s) which are needed in the sequel. For brevity sake we use X to denote a $pTS(X, \tau_p)$.

Definition 2.1

Let X be a pTS and S a subset of X . Then

- elements of τ_i ; $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are said to be τ_i -open sets and their relative complements are called τ_i -closed sets
- S is called penta open set (pOS) if $S \in \cup_{i=1}^5 \tau_i$ and its complement $X \setminus S$ is known as penta closed set (pCS)
- the penta closure of S denoted by $pCl(S)$ is defined as $pCl(S) = \cap_{\lambda \in I} \{C_\lambda : \text{each } C_\lambda \text{ is a } pCS \text{ in } X \text{ containing } S\}$
- S is referred to as penta neighbourhood (pN) of an element $a \in X$ if and only if there exists a pOS U satisfying the condition $a \in U \subseteq S$.

Theorem 2.2

In pTS X

- $\cup_{\lambda \in I} U_\lambda$ is pOS where each U_λ is pOS
- $\cap_{\lambda \in I} C_\lambda$ is pCS where each C_λ is pCS

Remark 2.3

Clearly, $pCl(S)$ is a pCS set and $S \subseteq pCl(S)$

Theorem 2.4 If X is a pTS and $S \subseteq X$, then S is pCS if and only if $S = pCl(S)$.

Penta Topological Separation Axioms

We shall use the concepts of pOS s and pCS s in pTS s to introduce penta topological separation axioms ($pTSAs$). Some results concerning $pTSAs$ are also investigated.

Definition 3.1

A pTS X satisfies

- (1) Axiom pT_0 if and only if for all a and b in X , $a \neq b$, there is a pOS U which contains one of a and b and not the other.
- (2) Axiom pT_1 if and only if for all a and b in X , $a \neq b$, there are $pOSs$ U and V in X such that $a \in U$, $b \notin U$ and $b \in V$, $a \notin V$.
- (3) Axiom pT_2 if and only if for all a and b in X , $a \neq b$, there are $pOSs$ U and V in X such that $a \in U$, $b \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.
- (4) Axiom of p regularity if and only if for all $pCSs$ S in X and $a \notin S$ there are $pOSs$ U and V in X such that $S \subseteq U$, $a \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.
- (5) Axiom pT_3 if and only if X obey the axiom of pT_1 and p regularity.

A pTS X which satisfies axiom pT_i ; $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is known as pT_i space. A pTS which satisfies the axiom of p regularity is called a p regular space. A pT_2 space is also termed as p Hausdorff space.

Examples

Here we give some examples to illustrate $pTSs$.

- (a) Let $X = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ with pTs $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{\alpha\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{\beta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}, X\}$, $\tau_3 = \{\phi, \{\gamma\}, X\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\phi, \{\delta\}, X\}$ and $\tau_5 = \{\phi, \{\gamma, \delta\}, X\}$ be a pTS . The $pOSs$ are ϕ , $\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\}, \{\gamma\}, \{\delta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\gamma, \delta\}$ and X . Hence X is pT_0 space.
- (b) Let $X = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ with pTs $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{\alpha\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{\alpha\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}, X\}$, $\tau_3 = \{\phi, \{\beta\}, \{\beta, \gamma\}, X\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\phi, \{\gamma\}, X\}$ and $\tau_5 = \{\phi, \{\delta\}, X\}$ be a pTS . The $pOSs$ are ϕ , $\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\}, \{\gamma\}, \{\delta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\beta, \gamma\}$ and X . Hence X is pT_1 space.
- (c) Let $X = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ with pTs $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{\alpha\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{\beta\}, X\}$, $\tau_3 = \{\phi, \{\gamma\}, X\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\phi, \{\delta\}, X\}$, $\tau_5 = \{\phi, \{\alpha, \beta\}, X\}$ be a pTS . The $pOSs$ are ϕ , $\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\}, \{\gamma\}, \{\delta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and X . Hence X is pT_2 (p Hausdorff) space.
- (d) Let $X = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ with pTs $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{\alpha, \beta\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{\beta, \gamma\}, X\}$, $\tau_3 = \{\phi, \{\gamma, \delta\}, X\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\phi, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\gamma, \delta\}, X\}$ and $\tau_5 = \{\phi, X\}$ be a pTS . The $pOSs$ are $\phi, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\beta, \gamma\}, \{\gamma, \delta\}, X$ and $pCSs$ are $X, \{\gamma, \delta\}, \{\alpha, \delta\}, \{\alpha, \beta\}, \phi$. Hence X is p regular space.
- (e) Consider X with pTs as indicated in (d) above. X is p regular space and also pT_1 space. Hence X is pT_3 space.

Remark

The following implication holds true for $pTSAs$:

$$\text{Axiom } pT_3 \Rightarrow \text{Axiom } pT_2 \Rightarrow \text{Axiom } pT_1 \Rightarrow$$

Axiom pT_0 .

However, the converse of this implication is not true in general.

Main Results

In this section we prove some results concerning $pTSAs$ in $pTSs$. We start with stating the following fundamental theorem, proof of which is easy and thus omitted.

Theorem 5.1

Let X be a pTS and S a subset of X . Then S is pOS if and only if it is a $pNof$ of each of its point.

Theorem 5.2

If $\{a\}$ is a pOS for some $a \in X$, then $a \notin pCl\{b\}$ for every $b \neq a$.

Proof.

Suppose $\{a\}$ is a pOS for some a in X , then $X \setminus \{a\}$ is a pCS and $a \notin X \setminus \{a\}$.

Assume that $a \in pCl\{b\}$ for some $b \neq a$, then b and a both belong to the $pCSs$ containing b . It then follows that $a \in X \setminus \{a\}$ contradicting the fact that $a \notin X \setminus \{a\}$.

Hence $a \notin pCl\{b\}$.

Theorem 5.3

In pTS X distinct points have distinct $pCls$.

Proof

Consider any two points a, b in X , $a \neq b$ and let $S = X \setminus \{a\}$. Then

$$pCl(S) = S \text{ or } X.$$

If $pCl(S) = S$, then S is pCS and so $X \setminus S = \{a\}$ is pOS for some a in X such that $b \notin X \setminus S$. By Theorem (5.2) $a \notin pCl\{b\}$ and $b \in pCl\{a\}$ which implies $pCl\{a\}$ and $pCl\{b\}$ are distinct.

If $pCl(S) = X$, then S is pOS and so $\{a\}$ is pCS which means that $pCl\{a\} = \{a\}$. Thus $pCl\{a\}$ and $pCl\{b\}$ are distinct.

Theorem 5.4

In pTS X if distinct points have distinct $pCls$, then it is a pT_0 space.

Proof. Suppose a and b are two distinct points in X so that $pCl\{a\} \neq pCl\{b\}$. There exists c in X such that

$$c \in pCl\{a\} \text{ but } c \notin pCl\{b\}$$

or

$$c \in pCl\{b\} \text{ but } c \notin pCl\{a\}$$

Consider $c \in pCl\{b\}$, then $pCl\{a\}$ is contained in $pCl\{b\}$ which implies $c \notin pCl\{b\}$, a contradiction, so $c \notin pCl\{b\}$. It then follows $c \in pCl(X \setminus \{b\})$. Consequently, X is a pT_0 space.

Theorem 5.5

Every pTS is a pT_0 space.

Proof.

Immediate from Theorem (5.3) and Theorem (5.4).

Theorem 5.6

A pTS X is pT_1 space if and only if every one-point set in X is pCS .

Proof. Suppose $\{a\}$ is a one-point set in X and $b \in X \setminus \{a\}$. Then $b \neq a$. Since X is pT_1 space, so there are $pOSs$ U and V in X such that $a \in U, b \notin U$ and $b \in V, a \notin V$. It follows that $b \in V$ and $V \subseteq X \setminus \{a\}$ and so $X \setminus \{a\}$ is a pN of b . But b was chosen arbitrary in X , hence $X \setminus \{a\}$ is a pN of each of its points. Consequently, $X \setminus \{a\}$ is pOS and $\{a\}$ is pCS .

Conversely, suppose every one point set in X is pCS . Let $a, b \in X, a \neq b$. Then the one-point sets $\{a\}, \{b\}$ are $pCSs$ and so $X \setminus \{a\}, X \setminus \{b\}$ are $pOSs$ such that $b \in X \setminus \{a\}, a \notin X \setminus \{a\}$ and $a \in X \setminus \{b\}, b \notin X \setminus \{b\}$. Hence X is a pT_1 space.

Corollary 5.7

A pTS X is pT_1 space if and only if each finite subset of X is pCS .

Theorem 5.8

In pTS X , the following conditions are equivalent

- (I) X is pT_1 space
- (II) For every $a \in X, \{a\}$ is pCS in X
- (III) Every subset of X is the intersection of all $pOSs$ containing it
- (IV) The intersection of all $pOSs$ containing the point $a \in X$ is $\{a\}$

Proof.

(I) \Rightarrow (II). Suppose X is a pT_1 space and $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$. Then there exist $pOSs$ O_a and O_b such that $a \in O_a, b \notin O_a$ and $b \in O_b, a \notin O_b$. By the latter condition it then follows that

$$b \in O_b \subseteq X \setminus \{a\} \implies X \setminus \{a\} = \cup \{O_b : b \in X \setminus \{a\}\}$$

and so $X \setminus \{a\}$ is pOS . Consequently $\{a\}$ is pCS in X . Hence (II) is true.

(II) \implies (III). Suppose $S \subseteq X$ and $a \notin S$. Then $S \subseteq X \setminus \{a\}$ and $X \setminus \{a\}$ is pOS in X . We may write $S = \cap \{X \setminus \{a\} : a \in X \setminus S\}$. Hence (III) is true.

(III) \implies (IV). Obvious.

(IV) \implies (I). Suppose a and b are two elements in X such that $a \neq b$. Then there exists a pOS O_a containing a but not b and a pOS O_b containing b but not a . Hence (I) is true.

Theorem 5.9

A pTS X is a pT_2 space if and only if for any two distinct points a, b in X there exist pCS s C_1 and C_2 such that

$$a \in C_1, b \notin C_1 \text{ and } b \in C_2, a \notin C_2 \text{ and } X = C_1 \cup C_2.$$

Proof

Suppose X is a pT_2 space, then for any two distinct points a, b in X , there exist pOS s U and V in X such that $a \in U, b \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$.

Set $C_1 = X \setminus V$ and $C_2 = X \setminus U$, then

C_1 and C_2 are pCS s with $a \in C_1, b \notin C_1$ and $y \in C_2, a \notin C_2$ and $X = C_1 \cup C_2$.

Conversely, suppose for any a, b in X with $a \neq b$, there exist pCS s C_1 and C_2 such that

$$a \in C_1, b \notin C_1 \text{ and } b \in C_2, a \notin C_2 \text{ and } X = C_1 \cup C_2.$$

Set $O_1 = X \setminus C_2$ and $O_2 = X \setminus C_1$, then O_1 and O_2 are pOS s such that

$$a \in O_1, b \in O_2 \text{ and } O_1 \cap O_2 = \phi$$

Hence X is a pT_2 space.

Theorem 5.10

A pTS X is a p regular space if and only if for any pOS

U containing a in X , there exist a pOS V containing a such that $pCl(V) \subseteq U$.

Proof. Suppose X is p regular. Let U be a pOS containing $a \in X$, then $X \setminus U$ is a pCS in X and $a \notin X \setminus U$. By P -regularity of X , there exist pOS s V and W in X such that

$$a \in V, X \setminus U \subseteq W \text{ and } V \cap W = \phi.$$

Notice that $X \setminus W \subseteq U$ and $V \subseteq X \setminus W$. Since $X \setminus W$ is pCS , so

$$pCl(V) \subseteq pCl(X \setminus W) = X \setminus W \subseteq U.$$

Conversely, let $a \in X$ and C be a pCS in X with $a \notin C$, then $a \in X \setminus C$ where $X \setminus C$ is pOS in X . By hypothesis, there exists a pOS V containing a such that

$pCl(V) \subseteq X \setminus C$ implies $C \subseteq X \setminus pCl(V)$ where $X \setminus pCl(V)$ is a pOS .

But $V \cap (X \setminus pCl(V)) = V \cap X \setminus V \cap pCl(V) = V \setminus V = \phi$.

Hence X is p regular.

Theorem 5.11

A pT_0 and p regular space is a pT_2 space.

Proof.

Suppose X is a pT_0 space which is also p regular. Let $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$. Then there exists a pOS U such that $a \in U$ and $b \notin U$. Since U is pOS so $X \setminus U$ is a pCS and $a \notin X \setminus U$. Also X is p regular, so there exist pOS s V, W and $V \cap W = \phi$ such that $a \in V$ and $X \setminus U \subseteq W$, hence $a \in V$ and $b \in W$. Consequently, X is pT_2 space.

Conclusion

Separation axioms also known as separation properties have been actively persuaded by many researchers recently in classical as well as bi, tri and quad topological spaces using certain types of open and closed sets. In this work, the separation axioms $pT_0, pT_1, pT_2, pT_3, p$ regular have been studied in pTS s via pOS s and pCS s introduced by Khan and Khan (2018). Critical review of previous work concerning separation axioms in the classical, bi, tri, quad and pTS s leads to the conclusion that these separation axioms can conveniently be carried over to hexa topological space (a non-void set with six topologies) and even the more general case of n topological space, that is a non-void set equipped with n topologies where n is a natural number.

References

- Anjaline, W., & Pricilla, M. T. (2020). p-Semi Closed Sets in Penta Topological Spaces. *Infokara Research*, 9(1), 1-4.
- Hameed, N.F., & Abid, M.Y. (2011). Certain Types of Separation Axioms in Tri-topological Spaces. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 52(2), 212-217.
- Kelly, J. C. (1963). Bitopological Spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 3, 17-89.
- Khan, M. S., & Khan, G. A. (2018). p-Continuity and p-Homeomorphism in Penta Topological Spaces. *European International Journal of Science and Technology*, 7(5), 1-8.

- Kovar, M. (2000). On 3-Topological Version of Θ -Regularity. *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, 23(6), 393-398.
- Mukundan, D. V. (2013). Introduction to Quad Topological Spaces. *Int. Journal of Scientific & Engg. Research*, 4(7), 2483-2485.
- Munkres, J. R. (2000). Topology (A first course). *Prentice Hall Inc.*
- Pacifica, G. P., & Fatima, S. (2019). Some Topological Concepts in Penta Topological Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, 65(2), 109-116.
- Reilly, I. L. (1972). On bitopological Separation Properties. *Nanta Math.*, (2), 14-25.
- Selvanayaki, S., & Rajesh, N. (2011). Separation Axioms in Bitopological Spaces. *International Journal of Computer Applications* (0975 – 8887), 35(9) 41-44.
- Simmons, G. F. (1963). Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis. *Mc Graw-Hill Book Company*.
- Tapi, U. D., Sharma, R., & Deole, B. A. (2014). Separation Axioms in Quad Topological Spaces. *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, Vol. 10, 16-18.
- Willard, S. (1970). General Topology. *Addison Wesley, N.Y.*